

RMA Form 6

Further submission – Proposed Porirua District Plan

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Porirua City Council

Email to: dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz
Subject: Further submission - PDP

Post: Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning, Porirua City Council, PO Box 50-218,

PORIRUA CITY

Delivery: Ground Floor, Council Administration Building, Cobham Court, Porirua City, marked "Attention:

Proposed District Plan, Environment and City Planning"

Closing date for further submissions is 5pm Tuesday, 11 May 2021

Submissions, a summary of decisions requested and submitter contact details can be viewed at: www.poriruacity.govt.nz/proposeddistrictplan

Further Submitter Contact Details						
Full Name	Last Name		First Name			
	Botha (Original submis		Paul & Julia			
Or Company/Organisation Name	[insert additional i	ows if neededj				
if applicable						
Contact Person						
if different						
Email Address for Service	Paul@roaring40s.co.nz					
Address	10A The Track, Plimmerton					
	<i>City</i> Porirua		Postcode 5026			
Mail Address for Service if different						
Phone	Mobile	Home	Work			
	021 897158					
Attendance and wish to be heard at the hearing: you must fill in both rows below						
☐ I do not wish						
To be heard in support of my further submission (Please tick relevant box)						
☑ I will ☐ I will not	■ I will not					
consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar further submission, at a hearing. (Please tick relevant box)						

Relevance - you must select one box that applies to you:					
☐ I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest					
☑ I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has					
☐ I am the local authority for the relevant area					
Explain/specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (you must fill this in):					
Our submission directly effects the property we own at 10A The Track, Plimmerton, Porirua. Our original submission is submission number 118.					

Note to person making further submission:

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been
 prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge
 or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy note:

When a person or group makes a submission or further submission on the Proposed District Plan this is public information. Please note that by making a submission your personal details, including your name and addresses will be made publicly available under the Resource Management Act 1991. This is because, under the Act, any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you as well as to PCC. There are limited circumstances when your submission or your contact details can be kept confidential. If you consider you have reasons why your submission or your contact details should be kept confidential please contact the Environment & City Planning Team at dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz.

Signature of person making further submission (*or* person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

Date. 10/05/2021

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number [See submission contact list]	Submitter Address/Email [if provided]	Support or Oppose [Only choose support or oppose]	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: [clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]	The reasons for my support or opposition are: [give reasons]	Allow or disallow [Only choose allow or disallow]	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed: [give precise details]
Friends of Taupo Swamp & Catchment Incorporated Attn Judy McKoy [Submission 178]	7 Corlett Road, Plimmerton judymckoy@xtra.co.n z	Oppose	178.20 and 178.21 The submitter does not wish part of 10A The Track to be rezoned as residential. The submitter believes that they should have been directly consulted. The submitter does not wish SNA047 to be modified in size.	The area proposed to be zoned as residential is not within a proposed SNA047. Part of the land identified for rezoning does not fall within the Taupo swamp catchment. The small fragment of the Taupo swamp that is located within the greater property at 10A The Track, is separated from the main body of the Taupo Swamp, on the Eastern side of the railway line. The catchment area for this small fragment is reasonably large and the addition of a small number of houses here is not going to impact the run-off into this small swamp fragment. In relation to consultation, I made a submission on the draft Plan Change to PCC on 7 February 2019. That submission requested a seven lot subdivision be considered. I have previously made other public submission outlining that the best use of this land is for a small sub-division. I imagine all on this is on the public record and available to FOTSC. FOTSC have previously directly been made aware of our desire to sub-divide part of the land to make better use of the land we own. I disagree with the PCC process and methodology used for the identification of SNA's in the PDP, specifically on 10A The Track and therefore oppose the FOTSC submission that the SNA's should not be made smaller.	Disallow	That the part of the submission which submits against the rezoning of part of 10A The Track to residential zone be disallowed. That the part of the submission that opposes the reduction in size of the SNA's in the Taupo catchment be disallowed.
Robyn Smith [Submission 168]	73 Tireti Road, Titahi Bay robsmithii@xtra.co.nz	Oppose	168.17 and 168.18 The submitter does not wish art of 10A The Track to be rezoned as residential. The submitter wishes that the SNA's (including SAN047) be part of the Taupo Swamp complex and retained as identified in the PDP.	The area proposed to be zoned as residential is not within a proposed SNA047. Part of the land identified for rezoning does not fall within the Taupo swamp catchment. The small fragment of the Taupo swamp that is located within the greater property at 10A The Track, is separated from the main body of the Taupo Swamp, on the Eastern side of the railway line. The catchment area for this small fragment is reasonably large and the addition of a small number of houses here is not going to impact the run-off into this small swamp fragment. I disagree with the PCC process and methodology used for the identification of SNA's in the PDP, specifically on 10A The Track and therefore oppose Robyn Smith's submission that the SNA identified as SNA047 should be retained as identified.	Disallow	That the part of the submission which submits against the rezoning of part of 10A The Track to residential zone be disallowed. That the part of the submission that supports the extent of SNA047 as identified be disallowed.
Plimmerton Residents Association Inc Attn Robin Jones [Submission 218]	18 Taupo Crescent, Plimmerton Plim.rasec@gmail.co m	Oppose	218.2, 218.3 The submitter suggests that insufficient consultation has been undertaken on the potential rezoning of part of 10A The Track to residential.	With respect to consultation, I made a submission on the draft Plan Change to PCC on 7 February 2019. That draft plan was open for public comment. That submission requested a seven-lot subdivision be considered. I have previously made other public submission outlining that the best use of this land is for a small sub-division. These submissions would be on the public record. I have spoken to some immediate neighbours in Corlett Road, The Track and other Plimmerton residents about my long-term intention to sub-divide the land to make better	Disallow	That the part of the submission which submits that all direct and immediate neighbours be contacted directly by PCC prior to the rezoning of part of 10A The Track to residential zone be disallowed.

Your further submission:

Please complete section below and insert additional rows per submission point or submitter if required by using the enter button Delete examples provided and enter your own further submission points

Submitter Name/ Submission Number [See submission contact list]	Submitter Address/Email [if provided]	Support or Oppose [Only choose support or oppose]	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: [clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]	The reasons for my support or opposition are: [give reasons]	Allow or disallow [Only choose allow or disallow]	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed: [give precise details]
				use of the land and existing council infrastructure. Surely the plan change process currently being undertaken by the PCC is an appropriate method of consultation.		
Robin Jones [Submission 207]	18 Taupo Crescent, Plimmerton rpjones@xtra.conz	Oppose	207.2 The submitter suggests that "significant" mitigation measures are needed if part of 10A The Track is rezoned as residential.	Assuming that part of 10A The Track is rezoned residential, any mitigation measures should be consistent with mitigation measures required by others under the plan. There is no need to introduce "significant" mitigation, which is inconsistent with the general requirements by others in the same area under the PCC District Plan.	Disallow	That part of the submission which requires significant mitigation for any sub-division at 10A The Track be disallowed.
Kainga Ora [Submission 81]	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities PO Box 2628 Wellington 6140 developmentplanning @hnzc.co.nz	Support	81.937 The submitter opposes the land use control adjacent to the rail corridor	I support the submitters request to change the land use controls adjacent to the rail corridor as what has been proposed in the PDP is far too restrictive to landowners neighboring the railway. There need to be controls on the noise maker rather than forcing adjacent property owners to accept unrestricted noise and having to build with expensive acoustic mitigation.	Allow	Allow the part of the submission which seeks to modify the land use controls adjacent to the rail corridor.